

Current Report

Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Fact Sheets are also available on our website at: http://www.osuextra.com

Cotton Variety Tests, Oklahoma – 2004¹

Melanie B. Bayles Senior Agriculturist Dept. of Plant and Soil Sciences Oklahoma State University

Laval M. Verhalen Professor Dept. of Plant and Soil Sciences Oklahoma State University Robert W. Thacker Senior Superintendent of the Southwest Research and Extension Center, Altus, and of the Southwest Agronomy Research Station, Tipton

Don W. Hooper Senior Superintendent of the South Central Research Station, Chickasha

Many cotton producers in Oklahoma could increase their lint yield, fiber quality, or both by growing varieties better adapted to their locations and growing conditions. With the same inputs of capital and labor, some cotton varieties provide a much greater return on the producer's investment than do others. The primary objectives of the Oklahoma cotton variety testing program are to determine the relative performance of commercially available varieties when grown under Oklahoma climatic conditions and to distribute that information to cotton producers in the state. Results from this research should help producers, researchers, and Extension personnel in selecting better varieties for the various production areas of the state.

In 2004, irrigated cotton variety trials were planted on research stations near Chickasha and Altus. Sufficient water was not available during the season to irrigate the Chickasha experiment. Dryland tests were grown on research stations near Chickasha, Tipton, and Perkins, Soil types, planting dates, harvest dates, and cultural treatments for those tests are provided in Table 1. The experiments included varieties grown commercially throughout the Cotton Belt. Because these tests are conducted on a fee basis, some varieties were not tested as the companies who own or market them chose not to participate. The trials were conducted using randomized complete-block experimental designs. Most tests included five replications; four were used in the Altus picker-harvested test. One replication in the Chickasha dryland test was not harvested due to an excessive number of weeds. Each plot consisted of four rows 50 feet long with 40 inches between rows, except at Perkins where plots were single rows 35 feet

J. C. Banks

Extension Cotton Specialist and Director of the Southwest Research and Extension Center, Altus, and of the Southwest Agronomy Research Station, Tipton

long. The two center rows in each multiple-row plot were machine harvested to determine lint yield. Boll samples were taken from the outside rows of those plots prior to harvest to measure lint percentages and fiber properties. In the Perkins test, boll samples were taken from the single-row plots prior to harvest; and plots were then hand harvested. Lint samples were sent to the International Textile Center at Texas Tech University in Lubbock for High Volume Instrument (HVI) fiber quality measurements using the Uster 900A system. Results from the tests in 2004 are presented in Tables 2 through 7.

Some of the varieties grown in a particular experiment in 2004 were also included in the experiments at that location in the previous year or years. Tables 8 through 19 present average data for varieties included in those trials for 2 years (2003 and 2004) or 3 years (2002 through 2004).

Producers should use the data from the variety test (or tests) which most nearly corresponds to the characteristics of their farm(s) to select varieties better adapted to their locations and growing conditions. They should consider location in the state, whether the test was irrigated or dryland, as well as how the varieties in that test performed relative to one another. Producers are cautioned that differences in lint yield and fiber coarseness (micronaire) should be compared over years (Tables 8 through 19). Because those two traits are environmentally sensitive, results from a single experiment can be misleading. Measurements for the other traits are more consistent over environments; therefore, data from only a year or two at a location should accurately predict their relative performance. If the producers' cotton acreages are substantial, they should probably grow more than one variety to reduce losses, if and when they occur.

Lint yield is the most important factor that producers should consider when deciding which varieties to grow. Lint yields in this publication are reported in pounds per acre. Statistical analyses of yield are represented by "protected"

¹ Research in this report was conducted under Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station Project S-714 (Evaluation of Cotton Varieties for Oklahoma) by the Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078.

LSD (least significant difference) values given in the footnotes below each data table. If the difference between the yields of any two varieties exceeds the LSD(0.05) value given for that table, the chances are approximately 95 out of 100 that this apparent difference in yield was real. Likewise, if the difference exceeds the LSD(0.01) value, the chances are about 99 out of 100 that the difference was real.

Lint percentage (sometimes called "gin turnout") influences ginning costs. Lint percentages are reported on both a picked and a pulled basis. Picked lint percentage was calculated as the percent lint in a sample of seed cotton, while pulled lint percentage was calculated as the percent lint in a sample of "snapped" cotton. Producers who harvest with mechanical pickers should examine picked lint percentages, while those who harvest with strippers should compare pulled lint percentages. As the price received for cottonseed increases, the importance of a high lint percentage decreases. In addition, a variety with high lint yield per acre (but with a moderate lint percentage) often gives higher net returns per acre than does a lower yielding variety with a higher lint percentage. Differences in lint yield are considerably more important to net returns than are differences in lint percentage.

Fiber length, coarseness, and strength are the fiber properties reported here which partially determine the price per pound for lint. While uniformity and elongation are important in the manufacturing process, at present, little or no price incentives are received by producers for either. Fiber length was measured as the upper half mean (in inches). Those measurements also were converted into 32's. Uniformity ratios were obtained by dividing mean length (also measured in inches) by the upper half mean length and expressing the result as a percentage. Fiber coarseness was measured in standard micronaire units. Fiber strength was measured in grams-force per tex. Elongation of fiber prior to breaking was estimated as a percentage of its length.

Higher values for lint yield, the lint percentages, fiber length, uniformity ratio, fiber strength, and elongation are generally more desirable than lower ones. Fiber coarseness is acceptable anywhere within the micronaire "base" range

of 3.5 to 4.9 inclusive. The "premium" range is between 3.7 and 4.2 inclusive. If fiber coarseness falls in the "discount" range (below 3.5 or above 4.9), the price per pound of lint is reduced. Penalties tend to be more severe for micronaires below 3.5 (especially below 3.0) than for those above 4.9. Therefore, producers should probably choose varieties with micronaires toward the upper half of the range, rather than the lower.

Based on their relative performance over the past 2 to 3 years, the better **yielding** cotton varieties (in alphabetical order) for South Central and Southwestern Oklahoma appear to be:

For Dryland Production	For Irrigated Production
All-Tex Atlas All-Tex Atlas RR DP 458 B/RR FiberMax 958 FiberMax 5013 PM 2167 RR PM 2266 RR PM 2326 RR	BCG 24R DP 458 B/RR DP 555 BG/RR FiberMax 958 FiberMax 960 BR FiberMax 989 BR ST 474 ST 4646 B2R
ST 4892 BR Tamcot Luxor	ST 4892 BR ST 5599 BR

Those producers in North Central Oklahoma should refer to Tables 18 and 19.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to gratefully acknowledge the many contributions to this research made by Toby S. Kelley (Assistant Superintendent) of the Altus and Tipton Research Stations, Lawrence B. Hurt (Foreman) of the Chickasha Research Station, and Rick L. Matheson (Superintendent) of the Perkins Research Station. Computer expertise was graciously provided by F. Michael Bayles.

Note:

Codes used in varietal names in the tables are as follows:

BCG = Beltwide Cotton Genetics B or BG = Bollgard
DP = Deltapine R or RR = Roundup Ready
NG = NexGen B2 or BII = Bollgard II
PM = Paymaster LL = LibertyLink

ST = Stoneville

Table 1. Locations, Soil Types, Planting Dates, Harvest Dates, and Cultural Treatments for the Cotton Variety Tests in Oklahoma, 2004.

Table Number	Nearest Town	Soil Type	Date Planted ¹	Date Harvested ¹	Cultural Treatments ^{1,2}
2	Chickasha	Reinach silt loam³	May 27	December 3	100 lb./A 18-46-0 No irrigations ⁷ No insecticide applications 2 defoliants + a boll opener + a nonionic surfactant
3	Altus	Hollister clay loam ⁴	May 10	November 10	280 lb./A 40-10-0 4 irrigations 3 insecticide applications 2 defoliants + a boll opener + a nonionic surfactant
4	Altus	Hollister (Picker Test)	May 10 clay loam ⁴	November 9	280 lb./A 40-10-0 4 irrigations 3 insecticide applications 2 defoliants + a boll opener + a nonionic surfactant
5	Chickasha	Reinach silt loam ³	May 19	December 2	100 lb./A 18-46-0 No irrigations No insecticide applications 2 defoliants + a boll opener + a nonionic surfactant
6	Tipton	Tipton silt loam⁵	May 12	September 27	75 lb./A 46-0-0 No irrigations 2 insecticide applications 2 defoliants + a boll opener + a nonionic surfactant
7	Perkins	Teller loam ⁶	May 26	December 21	80 lb./A 46-0-0 + 130 lb./A 18-46-0 No irrigations No insecticide applications No harvest aid treatments

¹ This information for Tables 8 through 19 for 2002 and 2003 may be found in the previous variety test reports CR-2094 (0303) and CR-2094 (0204), respectively.

² All experiments received preplant incorporated (PPI) herbicides. Experiments at Altus and Tipton also received preemergence (PRE) herbicides.

³ Coarse-silty, mixed, superactive, thermic Pachic Haplustoll.

⁴ Fine, smectitic, thermic Typic Haplustert.

⁵ Fine-loamy, mixed, superactive, thermic Pachic Argiustoll.

⁶ Fine-loamy, mixed, active, thermic Udic Argiustoll.

⁷ Sufficient water was not available during the season to irrigate this experiment.

Irrigated Test Results in 2004

Table 2. Irrigated Cotton Variety Test Results near Chickasha, 2004.1

	Lint Yield	Lint Per	centage	Fiber		Uniformity	/	Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
Tamcot Luxor	858*	41.2	31.8	1.04	33	83.4	4.4	31.3	4.8
DP 458 B/RR	845	42.0	34.7	1.06	34	81.8	4.7	29.8	5.3
FiberMax 989 BR	837	39.9	32.4	1.17	37	82.9	3.7	31.4	3.5
ST 4892 BR	837	44.7	35.5	1.02	33	82.9	4.9	27.5	5.4
BCG 24R	828	41.6	33.1	1.08	35	84.8	4.5	29.4	6.9
PM 2326 BG/RR	818	39.5	31.0	1.07	34	84.8	4.0	29.6	6.9
PM 2167 RR	814	39.8	31.4	1.05	34	84.9	4.2	29.7	5.7
FiberMax 5013	810	42.1	32.1	1.02	33	82.1	4.5	28.2	6.2
FiberMax 960 BR	800	43.3	34.7	1.02	33	82.0	3.7	28.2	4.6
All-Tex Atlas RR	797	39.7	32.2	1.06	34	84.2	4.0	29.9	5.3
PM 2326 RR	792	39.2	30.7	1.08	35	83.9	4.3	29.5	5.5
Phytogen 410 R	780	42.2	33.3	1.07	34	84.5	4.3	28.8	7.3
FiberMax 958 LL	775	42.5	33.3	1.15	37	83.9	4.4	29.6	3.7
All-Tex Top-Pick	774	41.6	31.5	1.13	36	83.8	4.5	29.0	5.8
All-Tex Atlas	768	40.1	31.0	1.04	33	82.2	4.5	27.8	6.2
FiberMax 5035 LL	751	38.6	29.1	1.09	35	84.0	4.0	30.9	6.5
FiberMax 958	749	43.3	32.8	1.09	35	82.0	3.9	29.9	3.6
PM 2266 RR	747	38.8	29.8	1.09	35	83.2	4.2	30.2	5.0
ST 2454 R	740	42.0	32.9	1.09	35	83.8	4.2	29.7	6.0
Acala 1517-99	721	42.7	32.7	1.14	37	84.3	4.1	32.0	4.0
ST 474	716	46.1	35.8	1.04	33	82.2	5.0	26.4	5.7
FiberMax 5045 BR	704	40.3	31.8	1.05	34	82.7	4.0	27.9	6.0
BCG 28R	647	42.1	33.5	1.10	35	81.0	4.4	27.3	4.1
All-Tex Patriot RR	637	39.2	29.5	1.02	33	83.9	3.8	26.9	6.6
Experimental Average	773	41.3	32.4	1.07	34	83.3	4.3	29.2	5.4

^{*} Lint yield differences among varieties were not significant at the 0.05 or 0.01 probability levels.

¹ Sufficient water was not available during the season to irrigate this experiment.

Table 3. Irrigated Cotton Variety Test Results near Altus, 2004.

	Lint Yield	Lint Per	rcentage	Fiber	Uniformity			Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
ST 4892 BR	1934*	43.9	33.9	1.10	35	84.3	4.9	27.5	6.6
DP 488 BG/RR	1900	44.2	33.6	1.13	36	83.6	4.4	29.8	5.4
FiberMax 989 BR	1882	42.2	32.7	1.15	37	84.5	5.0	32.1	4.3
DP 455 BG/RR	1869	47.5	35.8	1.11	36	80.7	4.2	29.4	4.4
FiberMax 960 BR	1865	41.6	31.9	1.20	38	83.8	4.7	31.3	3.7
ST 4575 BR	1819	45.2	33.7	1.12	36	83.9	4.5	28.9	6.9
DP 445 BG/RR	1794	45.0	34.2	1.12	36	85.1	4.3	28.5	7.3
ST 4646 B2R	1755	41.2	31.9	1.12	36	83.1	5.1	28.4	5.1
DP 458 B/RR	1755	41.6	32.5	1.14	37	83.1	4.7	29.1	4.9
ST X3636 B2R	1750 1747	41.8 40.9	32.9 32.6	1.11	36	83.8 82.1	5.1 5.1	28.0	5.1
ST 5599 BR DP 444 BG/RR	1747	40.9 43.7	32.6 33.5	1.11 1.12	36 36	84.5	5. i 4.4	28.9 27.7	4.0 5.2
DP 555 BG/RR	1741	45.7 45.3	35.3 35.3	1.12	36	82.0	4.4 4.5	28.0	4.9
ST 474	1726	47.1	33.5	1.05	34	83.3	5.0	26.2	7.1
ST 5242 BR	1723	42.7	33.0	1.13	36	85.5	4.7	27.5	6.7
BCG 24R	1718	43.1	33.1	1.13	36	85.5	4.9	28.9	6.5
ST 4686 R	1691	42.5	32.1	1.15	37	83.9	4.7	28.0	5.5
ST X5454 B2R	1688	42.1	32.1	1.11	36	83.3	4.9	29.3	5.4
ST 6636 BR	1673	41.7	31.9	1.21	39	85.6	4.8	29.9	4.2
DP 449 BG/RR	1637	42.1	31.9	1.15	37	85.5	4.6	28.7	4.2
Phytogen 410 R	1618	43.9	32.1	1.08	35	85.7	4.8	28.3	7.2
FiberMax 958	1611	42.1	31.3	1.17	37	86.2	4.8	31.6	3.9
FiberMax 958 LL	1604	40.8	31.0	1.18	38	84.5	4.7	31.2	4.1
PM 2326 BG/RR	1602	41.3	30.7	1.05	34	85.3	4.7	29.8	5.9
FiberMax 5045 BR	1568	38.9	29.7	1.12	36	83.6	4.8	28.5	6.9
ST 6848 R	1567	43.6	33.0	1.13	36	84.5	4.7	33.8	4.1
ST 2454 R	1530	43.2	31.1	1.05	34	83.3	4.8	27.1	6.3
ST 3664 R	1525	41.3	31.2	1.10	35	85.9	4.8	29.2	5.6
BCG 28R	1485	42.1	31.5	1.18	38	84.9	5.0	29.1	4.5
FiberMax 5013	1471	40.2	29.8	1.07	34	83.3	4.9	28.9	5.1
Tamcot Luxor	1458	41.1	29.1	1.10	35	85.7	4.6	29.6	5.0
PM 2266 RR	1452	40.1	29.0	1.06	34	83.3	4.5	30.7	5.5
All-Tex Top-Pick	1449	40.0	28.3	1.15	37	84.7	4.2	29.6	5.4
All-Tex Patriot RR	1448	38.7	28.7	1.21	39	84.3	4.3	26.5	5.3
NG 2448 R NG 1553 R	1417 1404	38.8 37.1	29.8 28.9	1.15 1.18	37	85.2 85.8	4.8 4.6	30.4 29.1	5.9 5.4
All-Tex Atlas	1370	37.1 37.1	28.3	1.18	38 35	83.4	4.6 5.0	29.1	6.3
PM 2167 RR	1353	40.0	20.3 29.7	1.05	34	83.6	5.0	28.2	5.8
PM 2326 RR	1341	39.6	28.8	1.07	34	84.1	4.9	30.9	5.8
All-Tex Atlas RR	1307	39.0	29.3	1.03	33	83.0	4.9	31.0	5.2
NG 3969 R	1296	36.9	28.4	1.18	38	87.3	4.4	29.6	6.9
Acala 1517-99	1267	39.0	29.0	1.24	40	87.9	4.3	33.0	4.8
Experimental Average	e 1608	41.7	31.4	1.12	36	84.3	4.7	29.3	5.4

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 104 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 137 lb.

Table 4. Irrigated Picker-Harvested Cotton Variety Test Results near Altus, 2004.

	Lint Yield	Lint Per	centage	Fiber		Uniformity	/	Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
FiberMax 960 B2R	1935*	42.0	32.0	1.14	37	82.4	4.4	30.7	3.2
ST 4575 BR	1892	43.4	33.2	1.15	37	82.9	4.8	26.6	8.3
ST 5599 BR	1891	43.0	33.9	1.09	35	82.4	4.6	28.4	4.3
DP 488 BG/RR	1882	43.4	34.0	1.15	37	83.1	4.0	29.5	5.2
FiberMax 960 BR	1860	41.0	31.9	1.15	37	83.4	4.4	31.9	3.8
ST 4646 B2R	1854	41.8	32.8	1.10	35	81.4	4.7	26.7	5.2
FiberMax 989 BR	1828	41.6	31.8	1.13	36	83.0	4.4	31.7	4.3
ST 5242 BR	1808	43.9	32.9	1.08	35	84.4	4.8	26.6	6.0
ST 6636 BR	1806	41.3	32.6	1.18	38	85.1	4.9	30.3	4.8
DP 555 BG/RR	1785	45.4	36.2	1.07	34	80.5	4.7	27.2	4.5
ST X3636 B2R	1779	42.2	32.8	1.12	36	83.7	4.9	26.3	4.4
DP 424 BGII/RR	1776	38.2	29.8	1.12	36	84.6	4.4	26.9	6.8
FiberMax 800 BR	1746	39.2	30.6	1.23	39	85.6	4.3	29.4	5.4
DP 449 BG/RR	1683	41.3	31.7	1.14	37	83.6	4.6	32.2	4.6
DP 444 BG/RR	1623	43.1	32.0	1.12	36	85.4	4.5	27.5	5.8
Phytogen 410 R	1597	41.7	31.2	1.09	35	85.3	4.9	29.8	7.1
ST X5454 B2R	1534	38.5	30.2	1.20	38	85.3	4.9	29.8	6.0
FiberMax 958 LL	1468	40.3	30.4	1.17	37	84.3	5.0	31.5	4.7
FiberMax 832 LL	1400	38.9	30.0	1.23	39	85.1	4.6	32.7	4.5
PM 2326 BG/RR	1367	35.1	28.3	1.09	35	84.6	5.1	27.7	7.0
Experimental Average	1726	41.3	31.9	1.14	37	83.8	4.6	29.2	5.3

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 123 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 164 lb.

Dryland Test Results in 2004

Table 5. Dryland Cotton Variety Test Results near Chickasha, 2004.

	Lint Yield	Lint Per	centage	Fiber		Uniformit	У	Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	<i>32'</i> s	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
All-Tex Atlas RR	552*	34.1	25.9	1.07	34	81.7	3.8	28.4	6.0
All-Tex Atlas	543	33.3	25.1	1.12	36	83.5	3.7	29.6	6.5
PM 2266 RR	536	34.2	25.5	1.03	33	82.0	3.7	26.4	6.3
PM 2167 RR	530	34.4	26.4	1.04	33	84.9	3.7	27.6	6.7
FiberMax 5013	518	32.9	24.5	1.08	35	84.2	3.8	26.6	7.4
FiberMax 5045 BR	508	34.9	26.1	1.08	35	83.5	3.2	28.9	7.6
FiberMax 5035 LL	506	31.0	22.3	1.11	36	86.5	3.3	27.0	7.6
All-Tex Excess RR	482	30.4	22.5	1.12	36	84.4	2.9	27.8	6.6
PM 2326 BG/RR	482	31.7	24.4	1.08	35	82.1	3.2	27.9	7.6
FiberMax 958	479	36.4	26.8	1.16	37	83.0	3.5	30.0	3.6
ST 2454 R	461	34.6	26.3	1.11	36	85.3	3.4	24.6	8.1
Phytogen 410 R	459	33.5	25.3	1.15	37	86.0	3.6	26.5	6.1
Acala 1517-99	452	36.7	27.8	1.19	38	84.3	3.7	30.1	4.4
Tamcot Luxor	449	35.1	25.6	1.07	34	83.8	3.6	26.2	5.7
PM 2326 RR	409	33.2	24.6	1.07	34	83.6	3.8	26.6	6.7
ST 4892 BR	399	36.2	27.2	1.11	36	82.8	3.5	27.4	5.3
ST 474	375	39.0	28.8	1.08	35	82.8	3.7	25.6	5.8
DP 458 B/RR	352	34.4	26.8	1.17	37	83.7	3.5	31.5	4.5
Experimental Average	472	34.2	25.7	1.10	35	83.8	3.5	27.7	6.3

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 99 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 132 lb.

Table 6. Dryland Cotton Variety Test Results near Tipton, 2004.

	Lint Yield	Lint Pe	rcentage	Fiber		Uniformity	/	Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	<i>32'</i> s	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
ST 4892 BR	512*	43.9	35.0	1.01	32	82.3	5.8	27.6	5.4
BCG 28R	496	43.8	35.2	1.00	32	82.0	5.2	28.7	5.0
FiberMax 958	489	43.0	32.3	1.03	33	82.8	4.8	31.8	3.3
NG 1553 R	486	37.7	30.3	1.05	34	83.7	4.5	28.5	6.2
BCG 24R	486	42.5	34.7	1.00	32	82.2	5.5	29.2	5.8
DP 494 RR	485	44.9	35.8	1.07	34	82.9	5.4	31.2	5.0
PM 2266 RR	475	40.4	31.8	1.03	33	80.4	5.0	29.7	5.0
DP 458 B/RR	473	39.7	31.7	1.03	33	80.9	5.3	29.1	5.5
ST 4686 R	468	41.3	32.4	1.00	32	80.8	5.3	27.6	6.5
All-Tex Atlas	459	37.1	28.5	0.99	32	81.0	5.3	28.4	6.3
DP X02T57 R	457	38.9	31.6	0.99	32	80.9	4.9	31.1	6.4
ST 5303 R	457	40.8	32.2	1.01	32	83.7	5.3	31.0	5.4
FiberMax 5035 LL	457	39.3	29.6	0.96	31	81.2	5.3	27.0	6.5
Tamcot Luxor	455	39.6	30.1	0.95	30	81.2	4.8	28.4	6.1
PM 2167 RR	453	38.7	31.0	0.91	29	79.9	5.0	26.1	3.4
Phytogen 410R	453	40.9	32.0	0.99	32	82.4	5.6	31.1	7.9
PM 2326 RR	452	38.4	31.3	0.96	31	82.7	5.2	29.3	4.9
ST 2454 R	448	40.1	31.6	0.98	31	80.6	5.3	27.5	6.4
All-Tex Excess RR	446	37.5	29.6	1.00	32	83.3	4.9	30.1	6.0
FiberMax 5045 BR	442	41.0	33.1	0.98	31	81.4	5.6	27.9	6.2
All-Tex Atlas RR	438	38.2	30.6	0.98	31	81.5	5.1	30.0	6.0
NG 3969 R	426	39.1	30.7	1.01	32	81.8	4.3	29.4	6.1
DP 5415 R	423	40.0	32.0	1.04	33	82.9	5.1	29.7	5.5
ST 3664 R	419	40.4	32.2	0.95	30	81.3	4.9	28.6	5.3
ST 5599 BR	416	43.7	35.1	0.96	31	81.4	5.9	27.5	5.2
ST 474	415	42.1	32.6	1.01	32	80.4	5.1	28.0	5.2
Acala 1517-99	413	40.6	31.0	1.09	35	84.0	4.6	33.8	4.7
FiberMax 5013	408	36.1	27.7	0.96	31	81.4	5.2	27.1	6.2
ST 6848 R	383	42.3	33.6	1.04	33	84.0	5.4	33.1	4.7
NG 2448 R	347	39.7	32.1	1.00	32	81.8	5.0	31.1	6.1
Experimental Average	e 448	40.4	31.9	1.00	32	81.9	5.2	29.3	5.6

^{*} Lint yield differences among varieties were not significant at the 0.05 or 0.01 probability levels.

Table 7. Dryland Cotton Variety Test Results near Perkins, 2004.

	Lint Yield	Lint Perd	centage	Fiber		Uniformit	y	Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
PM 2145 RR	746*	39.2	31.5	1.03	33	82.6	4.1	28.0	4.9
PM 2167 RR	739	40.1	32.2	0.99	32	82.1	4.2	25.3	4.6
PM 2266 RR	663	37.8	29.6	1.06	34	82.7	3.9	27.5	5.7
Tamcot Luxor	656	40.0	30.7	1.03	33	80.5	3.9	26.9	5.5
All-Tex Atlas RR	631	38.9	30.6	1.00	32	81.5	4.2	27.6	6.0
PM 2326 RR	615	39.0	30.9	1.04	33	81.9	4.5	29.0	5.8
FiberMax 5013	613	39.7	30.9	0.98	31	80.1	4.2	27.7	5.1
PM 2326 BG/RR	609	37.8	30.5	1.01	32	82.2	4.2	25.7	7.2
ST 4892 BR	566	43.3	34.6	1.01	32	80.8	4.4	25.1	5.5
BCG 28R	500	40.8	32.5	1.03	33	82.6	4.3	25.5	4.8
Experimental Average	e 634	39.7	31.4	1.02	33	81.7	4.2	26.8	5.5

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 67 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 90 lb.

Irrigated Test Results over Years

Table 8. Irrigated Cotton Variety Test Results near Chickasha, 2003-2004.

	Lint Yield	Lint Per	rcentage	Fiber		Uniformit	ry Fiber			
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation	
FiberMax 989 BR	1043*	40.4	32.0	1.15	37	83.1	4.1	31.8	4.5	
FiberMax 960 BR	1009	40.8	31.6	1.09	35	82.5	4.2	31.9	4.5	
ST 4892 BR	1006	43.2	33.5	1.08	35	83.7	5.1	28.5	5.6	
DP 458 B/RR	962	39.9	31.9	1.11	36	82.2	4.7	31.3	5.6	
PM 2266 RR	955	38.2	29.1	1.09	35	83.4	4.6	32.5	5.7	
Tamcot Luxor	929	40.5	30.6	1.09	35	84.1	4.4	30.9	5.7	
PM 2167 RR	923	39.6	31.1	1.05	34	84.1	4.6	29.3	6.1	
ST 474	909	44.2	33.8	1.08	35	83.2	5.0	28.0	5.9	
ST 2454 R	895	41.3	32.1	1.11	36	83.7	4.5	30.7	6.0	
FiberMax 5013	887	40.5	30.9	1.06	34	82.9	4.7	28.8	6.3	
PM 2326 BG/RR	875	39.0	30.8	1.09	35	83.3	4.6	30.9	6.7	
Acala 1517-99	857	40.4	30.4	1.19	38	84.9	4.2	34.1	4.8	
All-Tex Atlas	846	38.2	29.0	1.11	36	83.4	4.7	29.1	6.4	
FiberMax 958	841	41.6	30.6	1.17	37	83.4	4.4	31.4	4.0	
PM 2326 RR	830	39.3	30.4	1.09	35	83.5	4.7	30.0	6.3	
All-Tex Atlas RR	803	38.2	29.8	1.07	34	84.3	4.4	30.7	6.1	
Experimental Average	e 911	40.3	31.1	1.10	35	83.5	4.5	30.6	5.6	

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 180 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 239 lb.

Table 9. Irrigated Cotton Variety Test Results near Chickasha, 2002-2004.

	Lint Yield	Lint Per	rcentage	Fiber		Uniformit	у	Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
FiberMax 989 BR	1125*	40.9	32.8	1.12	36	83.2	4.5	33.0	4.6
ST 4892 BR	1111	44.0	34.6	1.07	34	84.1	5.3	30.0	5.7
DP 458 B/RR	1087	40.7	32.9	1.10	35	82.0	5.0	32.2	5.6
ST 474	1034	44.8	34.6	1.06	34	83.5	5.3	28.4	6.0
Tamcot Luxor	1008	41.3	31.6	1.06	34	84.0	4.6	31.3	5.8
PM 2167 RR	996	40.5	32.2	1.04	33	83.3	4.7	29.8	6.2
PM 2266 RR	972	38.4	29.7	1.08	35	83.5	4.7	33.5	5.8
FiberMax 958	961	42.0	31.9	1.13	36	83.1	4.6	32.2	4.1
FiberMax 5013	936	40.3	31.0	1.04	33	82.9	4.8	30.4	6.1
PM 2326 RR	926	40.2	31.5	1.07	34	83.1	4.8	30.9	6.1
ST 2454 R	908	41.4	32.3	1.08	35	83.6	4.7	31.5	5.8
Acala 1517-99	873	40.8	31.1	1.17	37	84.4	4.5	35.4	4.9
All-Tex Atlas RR	832	38.8	30.5	1.06	34	84.1	4.7	32.3	5.8
All-Tex Atlas	811	38.3	29.4	1.08	35	83.7	4.8	30.6	6.2
Experimental Average	e 970	40.9	31.9	1.08	35	83.4	4.8	31.5	5.6

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 180 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 238 lb.

Table 10. Irrigated Cotton Variety Test Results near Altus, 2003-2004.

	_int Yield	Lint Per	centage	Fiber		Uniformity	,	Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	<i>32'</i> s	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
FiberMax 989 BR	1847*	41.2	32.1	1.17	37	84.7	5.0	32.5	4.4
ST 4892 BR	1839	43.0	33.6	1.11	36	83.9	5.2	28.2	5.9
FiberMax 960 BR	1789	40.8	31.2	1.19	38	83.7	4.6	32.5	3.9
DP 458 B/RR	1752	41.0	32.4	1.15	37	82.9	4.8	29.4	5.5
ST 5599 BR	1751	40.7	32.1	1.11	36	82.8	5.0	29.5	4.6
ST 474	1735	45.3	33.2	1.09	35	83.5	5.0	27.3	6.6
BCG 24R	1687	42.7	32.8	1.12	36	84.8	4.8	29.3	6.9
ST 4646 B2R	1683	40.0	31.3	1.15	37	82.5	5.0	30.4	5.0
FiberMax 958	1666	42.0	31.5	1.17	37	84.8	4.8	32.1	4.1
DP 555 BG/RR	1659	44.2	34.3	1.11	36	83.1	4.4	28.9	5.4
ST 5242 BR	1625	41.3	32.2	1.10	35	83.9	4.7	27.6	7.1
BCG 28R	1509	41.6	31.3	1.17	37	83.4	4.9	29.3	4.7
ST 2454 R	1471	41.3	30.9	1.07	34	83.0	4.8	28.1	6.3
PM 2326 BG/RR	1460	38.6	29.5	1.08	35	84.9	5.1	30.9	6.5
NG 2448 R	1457	38.5	29.7	1.15	37	84.3	4.8	29.6	6.2
FiberMax 5013	1441	39.1	29.4	1.07	34	83.2	5.0	30.1	6.0
PM 2266 RR	1426	38.5	29.0	1.09	35	83.4	4.8	30.1	5.7
PM 2167 RR	1416	39.4	29.5	1.06	34	84.1	5.0	28.5	6.7
Tamcot Luxor	1397	40.4	29.7	1.12	36	85.5	4.5	29.2	5.2
Acala 1517-99	1366	38.9	29.1	1.24	40	85.2	4.2	32.9	4.9
All-Tex Atlas	1364	37.6	28.2	1.08	35	83.3	4.9	30.2	6.9
PM 2326 RR	1312	37.9	28.6	1.10	35	84.9	5.1	30.8	6.1
All-Tex Atlas RR	1310	38.1	29.2	1.05	34	83.6	5.0	31.1	5.3
Experimental Average	1564	40.5	30.9	1.12	36	83.9	4.8	29.9	5.6

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 86 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 113 lb.

Table 11. Irrigated Cotton Variety Test Results near Altus, 2002-2004.

	Lint Yield	Lint Per	centage	Fiber		Uniformit	y	Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
ST 5599 BR	1764*	41.0	32.5	1.11	36	83.0	5.1	31.2	4.6
FiberMax 989 BR	1706	40.5	31.7	1.14	37	83.8	4.9	32.8	4.3
ST 4892 BR	1663	42.3	33.0	1.10	35	83.9	5.2	29.5	5.9
DP 555 BG/RR	1631	44.5	34.6	1.10	35	83.2	4.5	29.9	5.0
DP 458 B/RR	1627	40.4	31.9	1.13	36	82.9	4.9	29.9	5.6
FiberMax 958	1539	41.1	30.8	1.16	37	85.0	4.7	33.7	3.8
ST 474	1491	43.7	31.9	1.10	35	83.8	4.8	28.6	6.3
FiberMax 5013	1319	38.6	29.2	1.07	34	84.0	5.1	31.1	5.9
ST 2454 R	1274	40.3	30.7	1.08	35	83.7	4.9	29.3	6.0
PM 2266 RR	1268	38.0	28.6	1.09	35	83.9	4.8	31.9	5.7
Acala 1517-99	1250	38.1	28.4	1.24	40	85.5	4.2	34.5	4.7
Tamcot Luxor	1236	39.6	29.0	1.11	36	85.2	4.5	30.0	5.2
PM 2167 RR	1222	38.7	28.9	1.06	34	84.1	4.9	29.4	6.5
All-Tex Atlas	1213	37.1	27.3	1.09	35	83.8	4.7	32.3	6.5
All-Tex Atlas RR	1177	37.8	28.6	1.06	34	83.8	4.9	32.0	5.4
PM 2326 RR	1171	37.9	28.6	1.09	35	84.7	5.1	31.4	5.8
Experimental Average	1409	40.0	30.4	1.11	36	84.0	4.8	31.1	5.5

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 97 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 128 lb.

Table 12. Irrigated Picker-Harvested Cotton Variety Test Results near Altus, 2003-2004.

	Lint Yield	Lint Percentage		Fiber	Uniformity			Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
ST 5599 BR	1751*	41.5	33.1	1.12	36	82.4	4.6	27.2	4.9
FiberMax 960 BR	1734	41.0	32.2	1.16	37	83.7	4.6	33.3	4.0
ST 4646 B2R	1685	41.3	32.6	1.11	36	82.2	4.9	27.6	5.5
FiberMax 989 BR	1676	40.9	31.5	1.15	37	83.0	4.7	31.8	4.5
DP 555 BG/RR	1664	45.4	35.5	1.11	36	81.7	4.3	27.0	5.0
ST 5242 BR	1604	42.6	32.8	1.09	35	83.9	4.7	26.8	6.6
Experimental Average	e 1686	42.1	33.0	1.12	36	82.8	4.6	29.0	5.1

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 98 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 131 lb.

Table 13. Irrigated Picker-Harvested Cotton Variety Test Results near Altus, 2002-2004.

Variety	Lint Yield (lb./A)	Lint Per Picked	centage Pulled	Fiber Length	<i>32'</i> s	Uniformity Ratio	y Micronaire	Fiber Strength	Elongation
ST 5599 BR	1708*	42.0	34.0	1.09	35	82.6	4.9	29.0	4.8
DP 555 BG/RR	1584	45.7	36.2	1.09	35	82.2	4.6	28.3	4.8
FiberMax 989 BR	1481	40.4	31.6	1.12	36	83.6	4.7	32.5	4.7
Experimental Average	1591	42.7	33.9	1.10	35	82.8	4.7	29.9	4.8

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 117 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 157 lb.

Dryland Test Results over Years

Table 14. Dryland Cotton Variety Test Results near Chickasha, 2003-2004.

	Lint Yield	Lint Per	rcentage	Fiber		Uniformit	y	Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
All-Tex Atlas	494*	36.2	27.1	1.07	34	82.2	4.3	30.6	6.4
FiberMax 5013	493	36.3	27.4	1.05	34	82.9	4.6	28.5	7.0
All-Tex Atlas RR	480	37.0	28.3	1.01	32	80.4	4.3	27.5	5.9
PM 2266 RR	479	36.3	27.6	1.01	32	81.2	4.4	28.6	6.2
ST 4892 BR	465	41.5	31.7	1.01	32	81.9	5.0	26.3	5.7
PM 2167 RR	455	36.6	28.1	0.99	32	81.9	4.2	27.0	5.9
PM 2326 BG/RR	454	36.7	28.3	1.03	33	81.8	4.4	28.1	6.9
Tamcot Luxor	427	37.7	28.3	1.01	32	82.7	4.4	27.5	5.7
FiberMax 958	422	39.4	29.2	1.11	36	81.1	4.2	30.3	3.5
DP 458 B/RR	403	37.5	29.3	1.13	36	82.2	4.6	30.1	5.3
ST 2454 R	398	37.7	28.9	1.08	35	83.8	4.2	26.7	6.7
PM 2326 RR	392	35.4	26.6	1.07	34	82.9	4.4	29.0	6.1
ST 474	390	41.7	30.6	1.03	33	81.4	4.8	25.6	5.9
Acala 1517-99	386	39.9	29.4	1.16	37	82.0	4.7	30.4	4.8
Experimental Average	e 438	37.8	28.6	1.05	34	82.0	4.4	28.3	5.8

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 94 lb.; lint yield differences among varieties were not significant at the 0.01 probability level.

Table 15. Dryland Cotton Variety Test Results near Chickasha, 2002-2004.

I	Lint Yield	Lint Per	rcentage	Fiber	Uniformity			Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
FiberMax 5013	488*	36.9	27.9	1.04	33	82.5	4.4	28.7	6.2
All-Tex Atlas	468	37.0	27.9	1.04	33	82.0	4.3	30.8	6.2
ST 4892 BR	465	42.5	33.1	1.00	32	82.0	5.1	26.7	5.4
All-Tex Atlas RR	448	37.3	28.7	1.01	32	80.8	4.2	28.9	5.6
PM 2266 RR	439	35.9	27.5	1.02	33	81.7	4.1	28.7	5.9
Tamcot Luxor	431	40.8	30.8	0.99	32	81.6	4.6	27.1	5.1
PM 2167 RR	417	37.9	29.5	0.97	31	81.6	4.3	28.1	5.4
PM 2326 RR	401	36.8	29.3	1.06	34	83.1	4.4	29.5	5.9
DP 458 B/RR	397	38.9	30.9	1.09	35	81.8	4.8	31.6	5.1
ST 474	393	42.3	31.8	1.03	33	82.0	4.8	26.8	5.5
FiberMax 958	391	39.8	30.0	1.09	35	81.3	4.3	29.7	3.5
Acala 1517-99	379	40.2	30.1	1.15	37	82.4	4.6	33.1	4.6
ST 2454 R	372	38.7	30.1	1.05	34	83.1	4.2	28.0	6.2
Experimental Average	422	38.8	29.8	1.04	33	82.0	4.5	29.1	5.4

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 91 lb.; lint yield differences among varieties were not significant at the 0.01 probability level.

Table 16. Dryland Cotton Variety Test Results near Tipton, 2003-2004.

	int Yield	Lint Per Picked	centage	Fiber	2020	Uniformit Ratio		Fiber	Florestion
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Hallo	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
FiberMax 958	366*	41.9	30.7	1.01	32	82.0	4.4	30.8	3.6
BCG 24R	361	41.5	32.9	1.00	32	81.8	5.5	29.3	5.5
BCG 28R	360	44.8	34.8	0.98	31	80.7	5.5	26.5	4.8
All-Tex Atlas	359	37.7	28.4	0.97	31	81.3	5.1	28.1	6.0
PM 2326 RR	356	37.8	29.7	0.96	31	82.2	4.9	30.0	4.9
NG 1553 R	355	36.7	29.0	1.03	33	82.1	4.2	28.5	5.8
ST 4892 BR	354	42.5	32.5	1.00	32	81.3	5.3	25.9	4.9
PM 2167 RR	354	38.8	30.3	0.92	29	79.9	4.9	25.8	3.9
Tamcot Luxor	351	40.3	29.9	0.94	30	80.8	4.6	27.2	5.5
DP 458 B/RR	350	40.6	31.5	0.98	31	80.1	4.9	28.4	4.9
ST 5303 R	342	41.4	32.0	1.01	32	82.7	5.4	29.4	4.8
All-Tex Atlas RR	340	37.9	29.4	0.97	31	81.5	4.6	28.2	5.7
PM 2266 RR	339	37.8	29.0	1.03	33	81.3	4.7	30.4	5.0
ST 2454 R	339	39.9	30.8	0.97	31	80.9	4.7	28.1	5.9
FiberMax 5013	336	37.2	27.6	0.96	31	81.4	4.8	29.0	5.9
ST 5599 BR	332	42.3	33.3	0.95	30	81.3	5.8	26.0	4.7
Acala 1517-99	308	39.9	29.6	1.07	34	83.0	4.5	33.7	4.6
ST 474	305	42.0	31.4	1.01	32	80.6	5.1	27.2	4.8
NG 2448 R	284	38.8	30.3	1.00	32	81.4	4.7	30.2	5.8
Experimental Average	342	40.0	30.7	0.98	31	81.4	4.9	28.5	5.1

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 58 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 77 lb.

Table 17. Dryland Cotton Variety Test Results near Tipton, 2002-2004.

1	Lint Yield	Lint Per	rcentage	Fiber		Uniformit	У	Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
Tamcot Luxor	380*	39.1	28.5	0.97	31	81.8	4.2	27.5	5.1
PM 2326 RR	376	37.6	28.7	0.97	31	82.4	4.5	30.9	4.9
FiberMax 5013	374	36.8	26.7	0.98	31	81.5	4.4	29.2	5.5
PM 2266 RR	373	37.4	28.1	1.01	32	81.6	4.5	30.9	5.1
PM 2167 RR	366	37.8	28.9	0.94	30	80.4	4.5	26.0	4.0
All-Tex Atlas	359	36.9	27.1	0.98	31	81.5	4.6	29.7	5.6
All-Tex Atlas RR	356	37.2	28.3	0.97	31	81.6	4.4	28.2	5.4
FiberMax 958	356	40.5	29.0	1.03	33	82.0	4.0	31.4	3.4
ST 2454 R	354	38.8	29.4	1.00	32	81.3	4.3	29.2	5.6
DP 458 B/RR	343	39.1	29.8	1.00	32	80.2	4.5	28.8	4.5
ST 4892 BR	341	40.8	30.5	1.02	33	81.2	4.7	26.6	4.6
ST 5303 R	337	40.0	30.2	1.02	33	82.6	4.9	30.0	4.4
ST 474	300	40.6	29.8	1.03	33	81.3	4.6	27.9	4.6
Acala 1517-99	297	38.9	28.3	1.09	35	83.1	4.1	35.1	4.4
Experimental Average	351	38.7	28.8	1.00	32	81.6	4.5	29.4	4.8

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 50 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 66 lb.

Table 18. Dryland Cotton Variety Test Results near Perkins, 2003-2004.

	int Yield	Lint Percentage		Fiber	Uniformity			Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
PM 2167 RR	660*	40.2	31.5	0.97	31	81.9	5.1	27.2	6.1
PM 2145 RR	626	40.8	32.6	0.97	31	81.1	5.2	28.0	5.4
PM 2266 RR	586	40.3	31.2	1.02	33	81.6	5.1	28.2	6.5
PM 2326 RR	583	39.2	30.7	1.04	33	82.2	5.0	29.5	5.6
PM 2326 BG/RR	555	38.5	30.2	1.01	32	81.8	4.7	28.3	7.0
ST 4892 BR	527	42.5	33.3	1.05	34	81.7	5.2	27.7	5.3
Experimental Average	590	40.3	31.6	1.01	32	81.7	5.0	28.1	6.0

^{*} LSD(0.05) = 68 lb.; LSD(0.01) = 92 lb.

Table 19. Dryland Cotton Variety Test Results near Perkins, 2002-2004.

	Lint Yield	Lint Per	centage	Fiber		Uniformity	y	Fiber	
Variety	(lb./A)	Picked	Pulled	Length	32's	Ratio	Micronaire	Strength	Elongation
PM 2145 RR	716*	40.9	32.4	1.00	32	82.4	5.2	29.9	5.6
PM 2326 BG/RR	660	38.5	30.2	1.04	33	82.9	4.9	31.3	7.0
PM 2326 RR	648	39.1	30.4	1.06	34	83.0	5.1	31.9	5.8
Experimental Average	e 675	39.5	31.0	1.03	33	82.8	5.1	31.0	6.1

^{*} Lint yield differences among varieties were not significant at the 0.05 or 0.01 probability levels.

The Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service Bringing the University to You!

The Cooperative Extension Service is the largest, most successful informal educational organization in the world. It is a nationwide system funded and guided by a partnership of federal, state, and local governments that delivers information to help people help themselves through the land-grant university system.

Extension carries out programs in the broad categories of agriculture, natural resources and environment; family and consumer sciences; 4-H and other youth; and community resource development. Extension staff members live and work among the people they serve to help stimulate and educate Americans to plan ahead and cope with their problems.

Some characteristics of the Cooperative Extension system are:

- The federal, state, and local governments cooperatively share in its financial support and program direction.
- It is administered by the land-grant university as designated by the state legislature through an Extension director.
- Extension programs are nonpolitical, objective, and research-based information.

- It provides practical, problem-oriented education for people of all ages. It is designated to take the knowledge of the university to those persons who do not or cannot participate in the formal classroom instruction of the university.
- It utilizes research from university, government, and other sources to help people make their own decisions.
- More than a million volunteers help multiply the impact of the Extension professional staff.
- It dispenses no funds to the public.
- It is not a regulatory agency, but it does inform people of regulations and of their options in meeting them.
- Local programs are developed and carried out in full recognition of national problems and goals.
- The Extension staff educates people through personal contacts, meetings, demonstrations, and the mass media.
- Extension has the built-in flexibility to adjust its programs and subject matter to meet new needs. Activities shift from year to year as citizen groups and Extension workers close to the problems advise changes.

Oklahoma State University, in compliance with Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Executive Order 11246 as amended, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and other federal laws and regulations, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, disability, or status as a veteran in any of its policies, practices, or procedures. This includes but is not limited to admissions, employment, financial aid, and educational services.